

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/647,899	12/21/2000	Werner Taubmann	40551/DBP	6061	
23363	7590 03/26/2003				
•	PARKER & HALE, LI		EXAMINER		
SUITE 500	OLORADO BOULEVAR	AD	STRIMBU, GREGORY J		
PASADENA,	CA 91105		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			3634		
			DATE MAILED: 03/26/2003	DATE MAILED: 03/26/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			6
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/647,899	TAUBMANN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Gregory J. Strimbu	3634	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a y within the statutory minimum of thir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON , cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13.	lanuary 2003 .		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Th	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,6-24,26-33 and 43</u> is/are pendi	ng in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) 1,2,4,6-24,26-33 and 43 is/are rejected	ed.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept	oted or b) objected to by t	he Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	` '	
11)⊠ The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>13 Ja</u>		ved b)☐ disapproved by the Examin	er.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) $⊠$ All b) $□$ Some * c) $□$ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in A	pplication No	
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	· ·	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application	n).
 a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting 			
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 3634

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of Group I in Paper No. 14 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)). Accordingly, claims 3, 5 and 25 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in Paper No. 14.

Drawings

The proposed drawing correction and/or the proposed substitute sheets of drawings, filed on January 13, 2003 have been approved.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1, 2, 4, 6-24, 26-33 and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Recitations such as "the plug-in connector" on line 12 of claim 1 render the claims indefinite because it is unclear if the applicant is referring to only one of the connectors set forth above or is referring to both of the connectors set forth above.

Recitations such as "the receptacle" on line 13 of claim 1 renders the claims indefinite because it is unclear to which one of the plurality of receptacles set forth above the

Art Unit: 3634

applicant is referring. Recitations such as "the gear forces" on lines 16-17 of claim 1 render the claims indefinite because they lack antecedent basis. Recitations such as "the at least two housing plates comprises" on line 2 of claim 4 render the claims indefinite because they are grammatically awkward and confusing. Recitations such as "like" on line 3 of claim 4 render the claims indefinite because it is unclear what the applicant is attempting to set forth. What comprises a "disc-like housing plates". How much like a disc must the housing plates be before it can be characterized as "disc-like"?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 6-15, 24 and 26, as best understood by the examiner, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Weber. Weber discloses a drive for adjusting devices in motor vehicles comprising one of a fixed spindle and a fixed toothed rack 11 fixed on one of two relatively displaceable parts, a gear assembly 15, 30 mounted on the other of the two relatively displaceable parts, and a gear housing holding the gear assembly, with the gear housing having at least two housing plates 1 and 2 which can be fixed against each other by plug in connectors 3, 8, 10 each plug in connector comprising a plug at one of the at least two housing plates and a receptacle

Application/Control Number: 09/647,899

Art Unit: 3634

for the plug at the other of the at least two housing plates, and the plug in connector is connected by plugging the plug into the receptacle, wherein the plug in connectors fix the at least two housing plates relative to each other in all three dimensional directions and thereby form supporting connecting joints which absorb the gear forces.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 16-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weber as applied to claims 1, 2, 4, 6-15, 24 and 26 above, and further in view of Isomura. Isomura discloses a gear housing 83 mounted in a U-shaped gear socket 46 of a holder.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Weber and Isomura, to reduce the cost of manufacturing of the invention of Isomura.

Claims 27-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weber as applied to claims 1, 2, 4, 6-15, 24 and 26 above. Although Weber is silent concerning the particular method of manufacturing of drive, the construction of the drive of Weber would inherently lead to the method steps as set forth in claims 27-33.

Application/Control Number: 09/647,899

Art Unit: 3634

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed January 13, 2003 have been considered but are not persuasive.

With respect to the applicant's argument concerning Weber, the examiner respectfully disagrees. Webster's II New Riverside University Dictionary 483 (1994) defines the term "fix" as "to fasten or place securely". Therefore, the plug in connectors 8 and 10 of Weber fix the two housing plates relative to each other in all three dimensional directions since they securely fasten the connectors 8 and 10 of Weber in all three dimensional directions. Although the resilient nature of the connector 8 may allow for some movement of the housing plates relative to one another, the plug in connectors 8 and 10 still fix the two housing plates to one another because they securely fasten the two housing plates together.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Application/Control Number: 09/647,899

Art Unit: 3634

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory J. Strimbu whose telephone number is 703-305-3979. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:00 to 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached on 703-308-2686. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3597 for regular communications and 703-305-3597 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-2168.

Gregory J. Strimbe Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3634

March 23, 2003